Environmental risk factors, protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers for ADHD: an umbrella review

Jae Han Kim^{*}, Jong Yeob Kim^{*}, Jinhee Lee, Gwang Hun Jeong, Eun Lee, San Lee, Keum Hwa Lee, Andreas Kronbichler, Brendon Stubbs, Marco Solmi, Ai Koyanagi, Sung Hwi Hong, Elena Dragioti, Louis Jacob, Andre R Brunoni, Andre F Carvalho, Joaquim Radua, Trevor Thompson, Lee Smith, Hans Oh, Lin Yang, Igor Grabovac, Felipe Schuch, Michele Fornaro, Andrew Stickley, Theodor B Rais, Gonzalo Salazar de Pablo, Jae II Shin, Paolo Fusar-Poli

Summary

Background Many potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers for ADHD have been investigated, but the consistency and magnitude of their effects are unclear. We aimed to systematically appraise the published evidence of association between potential risk factors, protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers, and ADHD.

Methods In this umbrella review of meta-analyses, we searched PubMed including MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, from database inception to Oct 31, 2019, and screened the references of relevant articles. We included systematic reviews that provided meta-analyses of observational studies that examined associations of potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers with diagnosis of ADHD. We included meta-analyses that used categorical ADHD diagnosis criteria according to DSM, hyperkinetic disorder according to ICD, or criteria that were less rigorous than DSM or ICD, such as self-report. We excluded articles that did not examine environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers of ADHD; articles that did not include a meta-analysis; and articles that did not present enough data for re-analysis. We excluded non-human studies, primary studies, genetic studies, and conference abstracts. We calculated summary effect estimates (odds ratio [OR], relative risk [RR], weighted mean difference [WMD], Cohen's d, and Hedges' g), 95% CI, heterogeneity *I*² statistic, 95% prediction interval, small study effects, and excess significance biases. We did analyses under credibility ceilings, and assessed the quality of the meta-analyses with AMSTAR 2 (A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews 2). This study is registered with PROSPERO, number CRD42019145032.

Findings We identified 1839 articles, of which 35 were eligible for inclusion. These 35 articles yielded 63 metaanalyses encompassing 40 environmental risk factors and environmental protective factors (median cases 16 850, median population 91954) and 23 peripheral biomarkers (median cases 175, median controls 187). Evidence of association was convincing (class I) for maternal pre-pregnancy obesity (OR 1.63, 95% CI 1.49 to 1.77), childhood eczema (1.31, 1.20 to 1.44), hypertensive disorders during pregnancy (1.29, 1.22 to 1.36), pre-eclampsia (1.28, 1.21 to 1.35), and maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy (RR 1.25, 95% CI 1.17 to 1.34). Evidence of association was highly suggestive (class II) for maternal smoking during pregnancy (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.45 to 1.76), childhood asthma (1.51, 1.4 to 1.63), maternal pre-pregnancy overweight (1.28, 1.21 to 1.35), and serum vitamin D (WMD -6.93, 95% CI -9.34 to -4.51).

Interpretation Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and overweight; pre-eclampsia, hypertension, acetaminophen exposure, and smoking during pregnancy; and childhood atopic diseases were strongly associated with ADHD. Previous familial studies suggest that maternal pre-pregnancy obesity, overweight, and smoking during pregnancy are confounded by familial or genetic factors, and further high-quality studies are therefore required to establish causality.

Funding None.

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

www.thelancet.com/psychiatry Vol 7 November 2020

Introduction

ADHD is one of the most common childhood neurodevelopmental disorders, characterised by inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsive behaviour.¹ The prevalence of ADHD, which was estimated to be 5–7% in 2015,^{2,3} is expected to increase⁴ as the classification of ADHD has changed from DSM-IV to DSM-5. Years lived with

disability per 100 000 children younger than 5 years was $2 \cdot 0$ in 2016.⁵

Many studies have been done to understand and improve the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of ADHD across neurodevelopmental stages, with an emerging core focusing on early detection and prevention.⁵ The complex nature of ADHD pathophysiology is

Lancet Psychiatry 2020; 7: 955–70

*These authors contributed equally Department of Psychiatry

(E Lee MD, S Lee MD), Department of Pediatrics (K H Lee MD, Prof LI Shin MD). Yonsei University College of Medicine (IH Kim, IY Kim). Seoul, Republic of Korea; Department of Psychiatry, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea (| Lee MD); College of Medicine, **Gyeongsang National** University, Jinju, Republic of Korea (G H Jeong); Department of Internal Medicine IV (Nephrology and Hypertension), Medical University Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria (A Kronbichler MD): Early Psychosis: Interventions and Clinical-Detection Lab, Department of Psychosis Studies (M Solmi MD. J Radua PhD, G Salazar de Pablo MD. P Fusar-Poli PhD), Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (G Salazar de Pablo), Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience (B Stubbs PhD), King's College London, London, UK; Outreach and Support in South London (OASIS) Service (P Fusar-Poli): National Institute of Health **Research Maudsley Biomedical** Research Centre (P Fusar-Poli), Department of Physiotherapy (B Stubbs), South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK; Faculty of Health, Social Care and Education (B Stubbs), The Cambridge Centre for Sport and Exercise Sciences (L Smith PhD), Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford, UK; Neurosciences Department (M Solmi), Padua Neuroscience Center (M Solmi), University of Padova, Padova, Italy: Research and Development Unit



(L Jacob PhD), Parc Sanitari Sant Joan de Déu (A Koyanagi MD), Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Salud Mental (CIBERSAM), Universitat de Barcelona. Fundació Sant Joan de Déu, Sant Boi de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain; Department of Global Health and Population, Harvard T H Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA (S H Hong MD); Pain and Rehabilitation Centre, and Department of Health, Medicine and Caring Sciences. Linkoping University, Linkoping, Sweden (E Dragioti PhD): Faculty of Medicine, University of Versailles Saint-Quentin-en-Yvelines, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France (Llacob): Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, Munich, Germany (A R Brunoni MD); Service of Interdisciplinary Neuromodulation, Department of Psychiatry, Laboratory of **Neurosciences and National** Institute of Biomarkers in Neuropsychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry, Hospital Universitario, Departamento de Clínica Médica, Faculty of Medicine, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil (A R Brunoni): Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, ON, Canada (A F Carvalho MD); Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada (A F Carvalho): Imaging of Mood- and Anxiety-Related Disorders Group, Institut d'Investigacions Biomèdiques Pi i Sunyer, Barcelona, Spain (| Radua); Mental Health **Research Networking Centre** (CIBERSAM), Barcelona, Spain (| Radua); Centre for Psychiatric Research, Department of **Clinical Neuroscience**, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden (| Radua): Centre for Chronic Illness and Ageing, University of Greenwich, London, UK (TThompson PhD): School of Social Work, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA (H Oh MD): Department of Cancer **Epidemiology and Prevention**

Research, Alberta Health Services, Calgary, AB, Canada (L Yang PhD); Departments of

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed including MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews from inception to Oct 31, 2019, for meta-analyses of observational studies regarding any environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers of ADHD, without any language restrictions. Search terms are included in the appendix (p 4).

Added value of this study

We identified and analysed 63 unique associations of potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers with ADHD. Among these, eight environmental risk factors and one peripheral biomarker were associated with risk of ADHD with high level of evidence (class I or II). Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity, childhood eczema, hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, preeclampsia, and maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy were graded as convincing evidence (class I) and maternal smoking during pregnancy, childhood asthma, and maternal pre-pregnancy overweight as highly suggestive evidence (class II). Evidence was scarce for peripheral biomarkers, with few ADHD cases and p values close to the significance threshold. Only the association between ADHD and low concentration of serum vitamin D was graded as highly suggestive evidence (class II). In subset analyses of prospective cohort studies, only maternal smoking during pregnancy, maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy, and maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and overweight retained their level of evidence.

Implications of all the available evidence

We identified factors strongly associated with ADHD that could help clinicians to identify children with high risk of ADHD and possibly lead to earlier diagnosis and treatment. The association of maternal metabolic syndrome, acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy, and childhood atopic diseases with ADHD suggests that immunological pathways could play an important role in ADHD. Maternal metabolic syndrome and acetaminophen use during pregnancy were robust environmental risk factors for both ADHD and autism spectrum disorder, suggesting their potential role as transdiagnostic risk factors. The identified associations are not necessarily causative, and high-quality studies are required to confirm causality and assess the interaction between these factors and genetic components, sex, intellectual disability, and comorbid psychiatric disorders.

reflected by multimodal research studies investigating the association of many genetic and environmental factors with ADHD,67 and biomarkers that might reflect the effect of these factors.7 Although substantial advances have been made in understanding the genetic factors linked to ADHD,6.8 findings on environmental factors and peripheral biomarkers have been inconsistent, with unclear magnitude of association with ADHD.79 Many meta-analyses and systematic reviews have assessed environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and biomarkers. However, these reviews are usually restricted to a single topic and their results could be affected by biases, including excess significance bias and publication bias.10 Furthermore, these studies do not apply hierarchy of evidence among the various environmental factors and peripheral biomarkers to stratify association with ADHD. Finally, with no established pathophysiology of the disorder, the boundaries between risk factors, protective factors, and biomarkers can become blurred. Pragmatic evidence synthesis that encompasses all of these contributing factors is preferred.11

In this umbrella review—a systematic collection and evaluation of systematic reviews and meta-analyses done on a specific research topic¹²—we identify and appraise the consistency and magnitude of evidence of environmental factors and peripheral biomarkers associated with diagnosis of ADHD, controlling for several biases.

Methods

We followed the PRISMA reporting guideline (appendix pp 2–3).¹³ Screening, data extraction, and methodological appraisal of included studies were done by at least two independent investigators (JHK and JYK).

Search strategy and selection criteria

We systematically searched PubMed including MEDLINE, Embase, and the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews from database inception to Oct 31, 2019. Full details of the search strategy, including search terms used, are included in the appendix (p 4). To identify eligible articles, two investigators (JHK and JYK) independently screened titles, abstracts, and full texts (figure 1). We also manually searched the references of relevant studies to identify further eligible articles. Any disagreement was solved by consultation between three authors (JYK, JHK, and JIS).

We only included systematic reviews that provided meta-analyses of observational studies (eg, cohort, casecontrol, and cross-sectional studies), that examined associations of potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers with diagnosis of ADHD. There was no language restriction. The definitions of risk factor, protective factor, and biomarker followed those of WHO (appendix p 5). We included meta-analyses that used categorical ADHD diagnosis criteria according to DSM, hyperkinetic disorder according to ICD, or less rigorous criteria than these, such as self-reports.

We excluded articles that did not examine environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, or peripheral biomarkers of ADHD; articles that did not include a meta-analysis; and articles that did not present sufficient data for re-analysis (ie, individual study estimates or necessary data to calculate these). We excluded non-human studies, primary studies, genetic studies, and conference abstracts. When two or more meta-analyses studied an identical topic, we selected only one meta-analysis to avoid overlaps. First, we prioritised the meta-analysis with adjusted study estimates over those with crude estimates. Next, we scored the metaanalyses by their recency and quality, using items from AMSTAR 2 (A Measurement Tool to Assess Systematic Reviews 2),¹⁴ and chose the one with the highest score (appendix p 6). When two or more meta-analyses had the same score, we chose the one that included more studies. Some meta-analyses studied risk factors and protective factors that might have been measured after childhood (eg, obesity, eczema, and asthma), and temporal causality with onset of ADHD is therefore unclear. In these instances, we included articles that provided metaanalysis of childhood-only populations, or created new subsets by including individual studies in which the mean patient age was 18 years or less. We did not consider such temporal relationships in meta-analyses of biomarkers, as most biomarker studies used samples derived from those already diagnosed with ADHD. We excluded meta-analyses that studied indices of cognitive function (eg, verbal fluency, risky decision making, and emotion dysregulation), as these have been described elsewhere.¹⁵ We also excluded meta-analyses about behavioural outcomes of ADHD (oral health, suicidal attempts, dietary pattern, internet addiction, and unintentional physical injuries). The list of the meta-analyses excluded in the text-screening stage is provided in the appendix (pp 7-9).

Data extraction

For each eligible article, two investigators (JHK and JYK) independently extracted name of the first author; publication year; environmental risk factor, environmental protective factor, or peripheral biomarker of interest; number of ADHD cases and study population; maximally adjusted individual study estimate and corresponding 95% CI; and metrics used in the original analyses (eg, odds ratio [OR], relative risk [RR], hazard ratio [HR], weighted mean difference [WMD], Cohen's d, and Hedges' g). We also extracted the individual study designs of meta-analyses (eg, cohort, case-control).

Data analysis

We used a series of statistical tests to assess the robustness and consistency of each identified association. Although environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers might be of different use in clinical situations, we used



Figure 1: Literature search

the same assessment method to test the robustness of each association regardless of causality or temporal relationships with ADHD, as in previous umbrella reviews.^{11,16} We re-analysed each eligible meta-analysis using the extracted individual study estimates. Metrics followed those of the original meta-analyses. We calculated the summary effect estimate and p values of eligible meta-analyses under both fixed and random effects models. Statistical significance was p<0.05. We also assessed p values below 0.001 or 0.00001,17,18 did Cochran's Q test, and calculated the I2 statistic for heterogeneity between studies (12>50% indicates high heterogeneity).¹⁹ We estimated the 95% prediction interval, the range in which we expect the effect of the association will lie for 95% of future studies.²⁰ We assessed the presence of small study effects (ie, large studies have significantly more conservative results than smaller studies) with the regression asymmetry test proposed by Egger and colleagues.²¹ Small study effect was claimed when Egger p < 0.1, with the effect that the largest study was more conservative than the random effects estimate. For statistically significant meta-analyses, we assessed the presence of potential excess significance bias, a measure of literature bias that compares the expected versus the observed number of statistically significant individual studies (p<0.05).²² We did random-effects meta-analyses after applying 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% credibility ceilings to account for potential methodological limitations of observational studies that might result in spurious significance.23,24 All statistical tests were two-tailed. The software used

Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada (LYang); Department of Social and Preventive Medicine Centre for Public Health, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria (I Grabovac MD); Department of Sports Methods and Techniques, Federal University of Santa Maria, Santa Maria. Brazil (F Schuch MD); Department of Neuroscience. **Reproductive Sciences and** Dentistry, Federico II University, Naples, Italy (M Fornaro MD); Department of Preventive Intervention for Psychiatric Disorders, National Institute of Mental Health, National Centre of Neurology and Psychiatry, Tokyo, Japan (A Stickley PhD); Stockholm Centre for Health and Social Change, Södertörn University, Huddinge, Sweden (A Stickley); Department of Psychiatry, University of Toledo Medical Center, Toledo, OH. USA (T B Rais MD); Institute of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Hospital General Universitario Gregorio Marañón School of Medicine, Universidad Complutense, Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Gregorio Marañón, CIBERSAM, Madrid, Spain (G Salazar de Pablo); and Department of Brain and **Behavioural Sciences** University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy (P Fusar-Poli) Correspondence to

Prof Jae Il Shin, Department of Pediatrics, Yonsei University College of Medicine. Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea shinji@yuhs.ac

See Online for appendix

| | Convincing (class I)* | Highly suggestive (class II)* | Suggestive (class III) | Weak (class IV) | Not significant (NS) |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Random effects p value | <0.000001 | <0.000001 | <0.001 | <0.05 | >0.05 |
| Number of ADHD cases | >1000 | >1000 | >1000 | | |
| p value of the largest study | <0.02 | <0.02 | | | |
| Heterogeneity (I ²) | <50% | | | | |
| Small study effects | Not detected | | | | |
| Excess significance bias | Not detected | | | | |
| 95% prediction interval | Excludes the null | | | | |
| p value with 10% credibility ceiling | <0.05 | | | | |
| *For results in class I and II, | further assessment | included subgroup ana | alysis of cohort : | studies, subgr | oup analysis of |

prospective cohort studies, and subgroup analysis of adjusted study estimates

Table 1: Level of evidence for grading levels

for the analysis was R version 3.5.1. and its packages.^{25,26} For each eligible article, two investigators (JHK and JYK) independently assessed the methodological quality of the meta-analyses using AMSTAR 2 and reached consensus through discussion in case of disagreement.¹⁴

Determining the credibility of evidence

In accordance with previous umbrella reviews, 11,16,27,28 we classified the eligible meta-analyses according to the strength of the evidence of potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers for ADHD into five classes: convincing (class I), highly suggestive (class II), suggestive (class III), weak (class IV), and not significant (NS; table 1). Criteria for each level of evidence were p values under a random effects model, the number of ADHD cases, the statistical significance of the largest study, the I2 statistic, small study effects, excess significance bias, random effects summary estimate under a 10% credibility ceiling, and the 95% prediction interval. For associations graded as convincing or highly suggestive, we attempted further assessment for the robustness of the evidence by subset analyses of cohort studies (retrospective and prospective), prospective cohort studies, and study estimates adjusted for at least one covariate. We followed state-of-the-art methods of umbrella reviews.11,16,27

The study is registered with PROSPERO, number CRD42019145032.

Role of the funding source

There was no funding source for this study. All author had full access to all the study data and the corresponding authors had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Results

From database inception to October 31, 2019, we identified 1839 articles, 35 of which were eligible for inclusion (figure 1).²⁸⁻⁶² The 35 eligible articles provided 63 unique

meta-analyses (40 potential environmental factors and 23 peripheral biomarkers; tables 2–3, appendix pp 10–12, 17–34). The 40 meta-analyses of environmental risk factors and environmental protective factors were based on 649669 ADHD cases, 32342401 total population, median 16850 ADHD cases per meta-analysis (IQR 1490-37086, range 79-92426), and median 83884 people per metaanalysis (14095-1276239, 1072-9244291). 29 metaanalyses were based on cohort studies, 15 of which also included case-control or cross-sectional studies. The median number of study estimates was six (4-8, 2-30). Effect metrics used were either RR, OR, or HR, 31 (78%) of 40 associations were statistically significant with p<0.05, 23 (58%) of 40 associations with p<0.001, and 12 (30%) with p<0.000001. 25 (80%) of 31 statistically significant associations included more than 1000 ADHD cases per association. 19 (48%) of 40 associations showed large heterogeneity (I²>50%). 15 (38%) of 40 associations were statistically significant with no small study effects or excess significance bias. The 95% prediction interval excluded the null in only 14 (35%) of 40 associations, and 19 (48%) of 40 associations retained statistical significance with a 10% credibility ceiling.

The 23 meta-analyses of peripheral biomarkers were based on data of 13807 ADHD cases and 23649 controls, (median 175 ADHD cases per meta-analysis [IQR 136–798, range 53-2557]), and median 187 controls per metaanalysis [91-921, 39-8154]). Meta-analyses were only based on a case-control or cross-sectional design. The median number of study estimates of the meta-analyses was seven (5-9, 3-19). Effect metrics used were either WMD, Cohen's d, or Hedges' g. 14 (61%) of 23 associations were statistically significant under random effects model, six (26%) of which had p<0.001, and two (9%) had p<0.000001. Five (36%) of 14 statistically significant associations included more than 1000 ADHD cases per association. 15 (65%) of 23 associations showed large heterogeneity (I2>50%). 11 (48%) of 23 associations were statistically significant with no small study effects or excess significance bias. The 95% prediction interval excluded the null in two (9%) of 23 associations, and eight (35%) of 23 associations retained statistical significance under 10% credibility ceiling.

AMSTAR 2 quality assessment was available for all but one association (maternal mobile phone use). Of 25 meta-analysis articles of environmental risk factors and environmental protective factors, 13 (52%) were graded as high quality, one (4%) moderate, and 11 (44%) low or critically low, mainly because the article did not report the protocol for the systematic review (table 2). When the quality assessment criterion for the protocol was ruled out, only three (12%) were graded as low or critically low. Two (22%) of nine meta-analysis articles of peripheral biomarkers were graded as high quality, and the rest as low or critically low (table 3). When we ruled out the protocol criterion for these, five (56%) were graded as high or moderate.

| | Source | Number of cases/ total population | Number of study estimates | Study design | Effect metrics | Random effects summary estimate (95% Cl) | Random effects p value | <u>a</u> | 95% prediction interval | Egger p value | Large heterogeneity, small study effect, excess significance bias, or loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | AMSTAR 2 quality/ AMSTAR 2 quality when protocol assessment was ruled out |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Convincing (class I) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity | Jenabi et al (2019) ⁵⁸ | 40 880/1 464 097 | 11 | Cohort | OR | 1.63 (1.49 to 1.77) | p<0.00001 | 30% | 1·35 to 1·95 | 0.92 | None | Critically low/low |
| Childhood eczema | van der Schans et al $(2017)^{37}$ | 10636/54429 | 9 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1·31 (1·2 to 1·44) | p<0.00001 | %0 | 1·15 to 1·5 | 0.94 | None | Low/high |
| Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy | Maher et al (2018) ⁴⁹ | 37 128/1 395 605 | ∞ | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1·29 (1·22 to 1·36) | p<0.00001 | %0 | 1·2 to 1·38 | 0.73 | None | High/high |
| Preeclampsia | Maher et al (2018) ⁴⁹ | >1000/NR | 9 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.28 (1.21 to 1.35) | p<0.00001 | %0 | 1·19 to 1·39 | 0.76 | None | High/high |
| Maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy | Gou et al (2019) ⁵⁵ | >1000/244940 | œ | Cohort | RR | 1.25 (1.17 to 1.34) | p<0.00001 | 26% | 1.08 to 1.44 | 0.42 | None | Low/high |
| Highly suggestive (class | (11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy | Huang et al (2018) ⁴⁵ | 50 044/3 011 050 | 20 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.6 (1.45 to 1.76) | p<0.000001 | %62 | 1·15 to 2·22 | 0.004 | Large heterogeneity* | High/high |
| Childhood asthma | Cortese et al (2018) ⁵⁰ | 32539/355686 | 11 | Cross- sectional | OR | 1·51 (1·4 to 1·63) | p<0.000001 | 52% | 1·26 to 1·82 | 0.05 | Large heterogeneity; small study effect | High/high |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy overweight | Jenabi et al (2019) ⁵⁸ | 23525/814880 | 6 | Cohort | OR | 1.28 (1.21 to 1.35) | p<0.000001 | 20% | 1·14 to 1·43 | 0.068 | Small study effect | Critically low/low |
| Suggestive (class III) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Preterm birth | Allotey et al (2018) ⁴¹ | 1542/45298 | 11 | NR | OR | 1.84 (1.36 to 2.49) | 0.000077 | 48% | 0.86 to 3·95 | 0.00037 | Small study effect* | High/high |
| Maternal stress during pregnancy | Manzari et al (2019) ⁶⁰ | 25 547/1 758 906 | œ | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.72 (1.27 to 2.34) | 0.00047 | 85% | 0.71 to 4.21 | 3·2e-05 | Large heterogeneity; small study effect; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling* | High/high |
| Maternal SSRI exposure during pre-pregnancy period | Jiang et al (2018) ⁴³ | 39 097/1 836 001 | m | Cohort | RR | 1.59 (1.23 to 2.06) | 0-00044 | 45% | 0.12 to 20.62 | 0.76 | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling * | Low/high |
| Maternal non-SSRI exposure during pregnancy | Jiang et al (2018) ⁴³ | 23 064/1 212 802 | 9 | Cohort | RR | 1.5 (1.24 to 1.82) | 0-000042 | %0 | 1.14 to 1.97 | 0.18 | None* | Low/high |
| Maternal SSRI exposure during pregnancy | Jiang et al (2018) ⁴³ | 56502/2858185 | Ŋ | Cohort | RR | 1·37 (1·16 to 1·63) | 0.00025 | 67% | 0.79 to 2.39 | 0.16 | Large heterogeneity* | Low/high |
| Maternal diabetes | Yamamoto et al (2019) ⁵⁹ | >1000/NR | 2 | Cohort | HR | 1.36 (1.19 to 1.55) | 0.0000059 | %0 | NA | NA | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling * | High/high |
| Child younger than school classmates | Caye et al (2019) ⁶¹ | >1000/NR | 30 | Cohort, case-control | RR | 1·36 (1·25 to 1·47) | p<0.00001 | 98% | 0.88 to 2.08 | 2.1e-05 | Large heterogeneity; small study effect* | High/high |
| 5-minute Apgar score <7 | Zhu et al (2016) ³⁵ | 37 414/9 244 291 | 2 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.3 (1.11 to 1.52) | 0.00087 | 62% | 0.84 to 2.01 | 0.076 | Large heterogeneity; small study effect; excess significance bias | Low/high |
| High frequency cell phone use during pregnancy | Birks et al (2017) ³⁹ | 6922/83884 | Ŋ | Cohort | OR | 1.29 (1.12 to 1.48) | 0.00038 | %0 | 1.03 to 1.61 | 0.52 | None | NR |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (Table 2 cor | ntinues on next page) |

| | Source | Number of cases/ | Number | Study | Effect | Random effects | Random I | 2 | 95% acodiction | Egger | Large heterogeneity, | AMSTAR 2 quality/ |
|--|--|------------------|-----------|---|--------|----------------------|------------|-----|-------------------|-------|---|---|
| | | | estimates | | | (95% CI) | p value | | interval | | bias, or loss of bias, or loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | when protocol assessment is ruled out |
| (Continued from previou | is page) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Caesarean delivery | Zhang et al (2019) ⁶² | 92426/3711607 | 14 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.17 (1.08 to 1.26) | 0.0002 | 78% | 0.94 to 1.45 | С.О | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | High/high |
| Breech/transverse presentation | Zhu et al $(2016)^{35}$ | 29 051/1 297 384 | Ŀ | Case- control | OR | 1.14 (1.06 to 1.22) | 0.00039 | %0 | 1.01 to 1.28 | 1 | None | Low/high |
| Weak (class IV) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Childhood eating disorder | Nazar et al (2016) ³⁶ | 79/1072 | 7 | Case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 5.64 (3.08 to 10.33) | p<0.000001 | %0 | NA | NA | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Moderate/ moderate |
| Preterm birth/low birth weight | Franz et al (2018) ⁴⁴ | 592/6163 | 12 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 3.04 (2.19 to 4.21) | p<0.000001 | 18% | 1.6 to 5.75 | 0.83 | None | High/high |
| Low education level of father | Russell et al (2016) ³² | 513/12 769 | m | Case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 2·1 (1·27 to 3·47) | 0-0037 | 86% | 0 to 973.93 | 0.22 | Large heterogeneity | High/high |
| Childhood/adolescent head trauma | Adeyemo et al (2014)³º | NR/6255 | 9 | NR | RR | 2.09 (1.68 to 2.61) | p<0.000001 | %0 | 1.53 to 2.86 | 0.69 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Gestational diabetes | Zhao et al (2019) ⁵⁶ | 648/2516 | 4 | Cohort | RR | 2.00 (1.42 to 2.81) | 0.000064 | %0 | 0.95 to 4.22 | 0.038 | Small study effect | Low/moderate |
| Low education level of mother | Russell et al (2016) ³² | 6960/108812 | 9 | Cohort, case control, cross- sectional | OR | 1.91 (1.2 to 3.03) | 0.0062 | 91% | 0.37 to 9.79 | 0.12 | Large heterogeneity; excess significance bias; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | High/high |
| Childhood allergic conjunctivitis | Miyazaki et al (2017)³ | 6400/35508 | m | Case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 1.69 (1.04 to 2.75) | 0.035 | 92% | 0.01 to 462.51 | 0.66 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Low/high |
| Childhood allergic rhinitis | Miyazaki et al (2017)³ | 7937/51709 | 5 | Case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 1·59 (1·13 to 2·22) | 0.0072 | 93% | 0.46 to 5.44 | 0.22 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Low/high |
| Low perinatal vitamin D concentration | Khoshbakht et al (2018) ⁴⁸ | 202/4137 | 4 | Cohort, case-control | RR | 1.41 (1.09 to 1.82) | 0.0088 | %0 | 0.8 to 2.47 | 0.49 | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | High/high |
| Single parent family | Russell et al (2016) ³² | 7838/99305 | 9 | Cohort, cross- sectional | OR | 1.28 (1.08 to 1.52) | 0.0044 | %0 | 1.01 to 1.63 | 0.068 | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | High/high |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (Table 2 con | tinues on next page) |

| | Source | Number of cases/ total population | Number of study estimates | Study design | Effect metrics | Random effects summary estimate (95% CI) | Random effects p value | 2_ | 95% prediction interval | Egger p value | Large heterogeneity, small study effect, excess significance bias, or loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | AMSTAR 2 quality/ AMSTAR 2 quality when protocol assesment is ruled out |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| (Continued from previo Childhood obesity | us page) Cortese et al (2016) ³³ | 45 183/649 991 | 30 | R | OR | 1.2 (1.05 to 1.37) | 0.0085 | 82% | 0.7 to 2.07 | 0.43 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility | High/high |
| Breastfeeding | Zeng et al (2018) ⁵⁴ | 1305/40 053 | ~ | Cohort, case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 0.7 (0.53 to 0.93) | 0.015 | 74% | 0.33 to 1.49 | 0.014 | cening Large heterogeneity; small study effect; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling* | High/high |
| Not significant (NS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Maternal hypothyroidism during pregnancy | Thompson et al (2018) ⁴⁶ | NR/5317 | 2 | Cohort | OR | 1.58 (0.5 to 5) | 0.44 | 85% | NA | NA | Large heterogeneity | High/high |
| Maternal subclinical hypothyroidism during pregnancy | Thompson et al (2018) ⁴⁶ | NR/5190 | 2 | Cohort | OR | 1.34 (0.17 to 10.47) | 0.78 | 82% | NA | NA | Large heterogeneity | High/high |
| Perinatal synthetic oxytocin use | Lønfeldt et al (2019) ⁵² | 532/1582 | m | Cohort, case-control | RR | 1·17 (0·77 to 1·78) | 0.46 | 86% | 0.01 to 184.42 | 0.76 | Large heterogeneity | Low/high |
| Childhood food allergy | Miyazaki et al (2017)³ | 1473/7140 | m | Case- control, cross- sectional | OR | 1.14 (0.88 to 1.47) | 0.33 | %0 | 0.21 to 6.08 | 0.93 | None | Low/high |
| Prenatal and early infancy thimerosal exposure | Yoshimasu et al (2014) ²⁹ | NR/248134 | 7 | Cohort, case-control | OR | 1.09 (0.82 to 1.43) | 0.56 | 73% | 0.48 to 2.45 | 0.46 | Large heterogeneity | Low/high |
| Prolapsed/nuchal cord | Zhu et al (2016) ³⁵ | 26728/124988 | 4 | Case- control | OR | 1.08 (0.99 to 1.17) | 0.095 | 49% | 0·79 to 1·47 | 0.6 | None | Low/high |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤20 g per week | San Martin Porter et al (2019) ⁵⁷ | NR/18 072 | 7 | Cohort | OR | 1.01 (0.68 to 1.5) | 96.0 | 87% | NA | NA | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/low |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤50 g per week | San Martin Porter et al (2019) ⁵⁷ | NR/68 036 | Ŀ | Cohort | OR | 0.94 (0.85 to 1.04) | 0.2 | 58% | 0.69 to 1.28 | 0.71 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/low |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤70 g per week | San Martin Porter et al (2019) ⁵⁷ | NR/74502 | 7 | Cohort | OR | 0.94 (0.86 to 1.02) | 0.14 | 41% | 0.76 to 1.16 | 0.57 | None | Critically low/low |
| AMSTAR 2=A Measuremen All statistical tests are two Tablo 2. Doctontial convict | t Tool to Assess Systems tailed. | atic Reviews 2. HR=haz. | ard ratio. NA=1 | ot available. NI | R=not report | ed. OR=odds ratio. RR=re | elative risk. *Pres | ence of ex | cess significance bia | is could not | be assessed since necessary | data were not reported. |

| | Source | Number of cases/total population | Number of study estimates | Study design | Effect metrics | Random effects summary estimate (95% Cl) | Random effects p value | 2 | 95% prediction interval | Egger p value | Large heterogeneity, small study effect, excess significance bias, or loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | AMSTAR 2 quality/ AMSTAR 2 quality when protocol assesment is ruled out |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|-----|----------------------------|------------------|---|---|
| Highly suggestive (class | () | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serum vitamin D | Khoshbakht et al (2018) ^₄ | 2163/10 317 | G | Case-control, cross- sectional | DMM | -6.93 (-9.34 to -4.51) | p<0.000001 | 94% | -14.99 to 1.14 | 0.47 | Large heterogeneity | High/high |
| Suggestive (class III) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Blood magnesium | Huang et al (2019) ⁵³ | 2557/5059 | [∞] | Cross- sectional | Hedges' g | -0.55 (-0.82 to -0.28) | 0.000078 | 92% | -1·43 to 0·34 | 0.42 | Large heterogeneity | High/high |
| Blood lead | He et al (2019) ⁴⁰ | 1160/2155 | 7 | Case-control | MMD | 1.00 (0.46 to 1.53) | 0.00025 | 97% | -0.89 to 2.89 | 0.36 | Large heterogeneity | Low/moderate |
| Weak (class IV) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Serum zinc | Sun et al (2015) ³⁴ | 2177/5077 | 17 | NR | Cohen's d | -1·33 (-2·23 to -0·43) | 0.0038 | %66 | -5·47 to 2·81 | 0.17 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/low |
| Platelet monoamine- oxidase | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 273/460 | Ŀ | Case-control | Cohen's d | -1.05 (-1.55 to -0.55) | 0.000036 | 67% | -2.68 to 0.58 | 0.32 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/ critically low |
| Hair magnesium | Huang et al (2019) ⁵³ | 155/331 | 4 | Cross- sectional | Hedges' g | -0.71 (-1.36 to -0.07) | 0.031 | 85% | -3.63 to 2.2 | 0.29 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | High/high |
| Urine 3-methoxy-4- hydroxyphenylethylene glycol | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 259/478 | 15 | Case-control | Cohen's d | -0·43 (-0·7 to -0·15) | 0.0025 | 53% | -1·31 to 0·45 | 0.87 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/ critically low |
| Blood omega-3 | Hawkey et al (2014) ³¹ | 311/586 | 6 | NR | Hedges' g | -0.42 (-0.59 to -0.26) | p<0.000001 | %0 | -0.62 to -0.22 | 0.38 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Saliva cortisol | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 323/673 | œ | Case-control | Cohen's d | -0.31 (-0.47 to -0.15) | 0.00014 | %0 | -0.51 to -0.11 | 6Z·0 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Serum ferritin | Tseng et al $(2018)^{x}$ | 1560/6251 | 19 | Case-control, cross- sectional | Hedges' g | -0.25 (-0.44 to -0.05) | 0.013 | 83% | -1.02 to 0.53 | 0.43 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling * | Low/high |
| Peripheral manganese | Shih et al (2018) ⁵¹ | 175/1209 | ы | Case-control, cross- sectional | Hedges' g | 0.31 (0.03 to 0.58) | 0.032 | 52% | -0.54 to 1.15 | 0.0016 | Large heterogeneity; small study effect; excess significance bias; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Low/moderate |
| Urine norepinephrine | Scassellati et al (2012) ³⁸ | 158/249 | 2 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0.41 (0.11 to 0.71) | 0.0075 | 16% | -0.17 to 0.99 | 0.71 | Excess significance bias; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Critically low/ critically low |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (Table 3 cont | inues on next page) |

| | Source | Number of cases/total population | Number of study estimates | Study design | Effect metrics | Random effects summary estimate (95% CI) | Random effects p value | 2_ | 95% prediction interval | Egger p value | Large heterogeneity, small study effect, excess significance bias, or loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | AMSTAR 2 quality/ AMSTAR 2 quality when protocol assessment is ruled out |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| (Continued from previot | us page) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Urine metanephrine | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 157/311 | Ŀ | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0.47 (0.1 to 0.84) | 0.013 | 14% | -0.32 to 1.27 | 0.31 | Loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Critically low/ critically low |
| Urine normetanephrine | Scassellati et al (2012)²⁸ | 131/222 | 9 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0-51 (0-01 to 1-01) | 0.047 | 63% | -1.01 to 2.02 | 0.35 | Large heterogeneity; loss of significance under 10% credibility ceiling | Critically low/ critically low |
| Not significant (NS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Plasma norepinephrine | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 53/92 | 4 | Case-control | Cohen's d | -0.42 (-1.75 to 0.91) | 0.54 | 88% | -6.62 to 5.78 | 0.42 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/ critically low |
| Serum transferrin | Tseng et al (2018) ⁴⁷ | 89/179 | m | Case-control | Hedges' g | -0·32 (-0·7 to 0·06) | 0.095 | 36% | -3.91 to 3.26 | 0.59 | None | Low/high |
| Urine homovanillic acid | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 141/247 | 6 | Case-control | Cohen's d | -0·15 (-0·51 to 0·2) | 0.4 | 43% | -1.09 to 0.78 | 0.25 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Serum iron | Tseng et al (2018) ⁴⁷ | 941/1788 | 6 | Case-control, cross- sectional | Hedges' g | -0.06 (-0.27 to 0.15) | 0.57 | 67% | -0.67 to 0.55 | 0.14 | Large heterogeneity | Low/high |
| Urine dopamine | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 99/152 | 4 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0·13 (-0·22 to 0·49) | 0.47 | 4% | -0.71 to 0.97 | 0.078 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Plasma epinephrine | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 53/92 | 4 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0.19 (-0.59 to 0.98) | 0.63 | %69 | -3·14 to 3·53 | 0.63 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/ critically low |
| Urine 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 73/122 | 4 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0.34 (-0.14 to 0.81) | 0.16 | 33% | -1·25 to 1·93 | 0.52 | None | Critically low/ critically low |
| Urine epinephrine | Scassellati et al (2012) ²⁸ | 145/223 | 9 | Case-control | Cohen's d | 0.41 (-0.15 to 0.97) | 0.16 | 71% | -1·39 to 2·2 | 0.39 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/ critically low |
| Peripheral blood brain- derived neurotrophic factor | Zhang et al (2018) ⁴² | 654/1183 | 10 | Case-control, cross- sectional | Cohen's d | 0.62 (-0.12 to 1.35) | 660.0 | %26 | -2.18 to 3.41 | 0.31 | Large heterogeneity | Critically low/low |
| AMSTAR 2=A Measuremen | nt Tool to Assess System | natic Reviews 2. N | IR=not reporte | d. WMD=weighte | d mean differei | rce. *Presence of excess sig | prificance bias co | uld not be | assessed as necessar, | y data were | not reported. All statistical t | ests are two-tailed. |
| Table 3: Potential periph | teral biomarkers of A | DHD | | | | | | | | | | |

Five environmental risk factors were graded as convincing evidence (class I; table 2, figure 2): pre-pregnancy obesity (defined as body-mass index [BMI] ≥30 kg/m²;58 OR 1.63, 95% CI 1.49-1.77), childhood eczema (1.31, 1.2-1.44), hypertensive disorders during pregnancy (including chronic hypertension, gestational hypertension, and pre-eclampsia;49 1.29, 1.22-1.36), preeclampsia (de novo or superimposed on chronic hypertension;49 1.28, 1.21-1.35), and maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy (RR 1.25, 95% CI 1.17-1.34). Three environmental risk factors were graded as highly suggestive evidence (class II: table 2, figure 2): maternal smoking during pregnancy (OR 1.6, 95% CI 1.45-1.76), childhood asthma (1.51, 1.4-1.63), and prepregnancy overweight (defined as BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m²;58 1.28, 1.21-1.35). Among eight environmental risk factors with high level of evidence (class I or II), four were maternal metabolic syndrome (pre-pregnancy obesity, overweight, pre-eclampsia, and hypertensive disorders during pregnancy) and two were childhood atopic diseases (childhood eczema and asthma).

Some markers of perinatal hypoxic conditions (5-min Apgar score <7 and breech or transverse presentation) and preterm birth were graded as suggestive evidence (class III). Factors related to the parenting environment were at best graded as class IV evidence (parental education level and single parent family). Only breastfeeding showed statistically significant protective effects against ADHD (class IV). Only four associations had effect sizes larger than 2 (eating disorder, preterm birth or low birthweight, low education level of father, and head trauma), which were all class IV evidence.

Meta-analyses included studies diagnosing ADHD with parental or physician report, medical records of diagnosis or ADHD medication, or self-report, and only four class IV meta-analyses included studies that used self-report (childhood or adolescent obesity, head trauma, preterm or low birthweight, and maternal gestational diabetes).^{30,33,44,56} The subset analyses excluding the self-report studies are provided in the appendix (p 13).

The only biomarker graded as high level of evidence was a lower concentration of serum vitamin D in patients with ADHD (WMD -6.93, 95% CI -9.34 to -4.51 [class II]; table 3, figure 2). Two biomarkers were graded as suggestive evidence (higher blood lead and lower blood magnesium in patients with ADHD; class III).

Subset analyses for class I and II associations were available for the eight meta-analyses of environmental risk factors (appendix p 14). In the cohort subset analyses, four maternal factors retained their level of evidence (pre-pregnancy obesity, overweight, maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy, and maternal smoking during pregnancy), whereas the rest were downgraded to class III or IV, or the subset analysis was not available because there were fewer than two cohort studies. The same four maternal factors were also graded as class I or II in the prospective cohort subset analyses. In the subset analyses of study estimates adjusted for at least one covariate, all eight factors retained their level of evidence.

Discussion

This study is the first umbrella review to systematically and quantitatively collect and assess the hierarchy of evidence for potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers of ADHD. Only nine associations showed evidence of high credibility (maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy, childhood eczema, hypertensive disorder during pregnancy, pre-eclampsia, and maternal pre-pregnancy obesity [class I], maternal smoking during pregnancy, childhood asthma, maternal pre-pregnancy overweight, and serum vitamin D [class II]).

Maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy was associated with a higher risk of ADHD in offspring with convincing evidence, retaining the level of evidence in all three subset analyses. Various potential mechanisms have been suggested, including excess toxic N-acetyl-p-benzoquinoneimine formation, oxidative stress due to inflammation-induced immune activation, brain-derived neurotropic factor alteration, endocannabinoid dysfunction, Cox-2 inhibition, and endocrine disruption.55,63 Although the exact biological mechanism has not yet been identified, one hypothesis is that prenatal acetaminophen exposure affects normal neurodevelopment, which is consistent with the evidence that acetaminophen readily crosses the placenta⁶⁴ and blood-brain barrier,⁶⁵ and that prenatal acetaminophen exposure during the third trimester of pregnancy (when the fetal brain grows rapidly and is highly sensitive to stimulation)66 is associated with a higher risk of ADHD than exposure in earlier trimesters.55,67,68 This association was supported by a sibling-controlled study, in which children exposed to prenatal acetaminophen for more than 28 days had substantially poorer neurodevelopment than those exposed for less than 28 days.66 One prospective cohort study reported positive dose-responsive associations with offspring ADHD diagnosis for maternal acetaminophen biomarkers.⁶⁹ However, this association should be interpreted in light of possible confounding by indication, since use of the medication could imply the presence of maternal comorbidities (eg, inflammation, infection), which might themselves increase the risk of ADHD in offspring.55,70 Meanwhile, some studies reported the retained association with statistical significance even after adjusting for indications of acetaminophen.55,67,68 Caution is required in interpreting the acetaminophen results, as our evidence grading did not consider the biological plausibility or potential confounders of an association, and the association itself does not necessarily indicate causality.

Components of maternal metabolic syndrome were associated with an increased risk of ADHD in offspring,

| A | | | | | Effect estimate (95% CI)* | Level of evidence |
|--|-----|--------------|----------|-----|---|----------------------|
| Childhood eating disorder | | | | | 5·64 (3·08 to 10·33) | Class IV |
| Preterm birth or low birthweight | | | | | 3·04 (2·19 to 4·21) | Class IV |
| Low education level of father | | | • | _ | 2·10 (1·27 to 3·47) | Class IV |
| Childhood or adolescent head trauma | | | | | 2·09 (1·68 to 2·61) | Class IV |
| Gestational diabetes | | | • | | 2·10 (1·42 to 2·81) | Class IV |
| Low education level of mother | | | • | | 1·91 (1·2 to 3·03) | Class IV |
| Preterm birth | | | • | | 1·84 (1·36 to 2·49) | Class III |
| Maternal stress during pregnancy | | | • | | 1.72 (1.27 to 2.34) | Class III |
| Childhood allergic conjunctivitis | | | • | | 1.69 (1.04 to 2.75) | Class IV |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity | | - | ◆ | | 1.63 (1.49 to 1.77) | Class I |
| Maternal smoking during pregnancy | | | - | | 1.60 (1.45 to 1.76) | Class II |
| Maternal SSRI exposure during pre-pregnancy period | | | | | 1.59 (1.23 to 2.06) | Class III |
| Childhood allergic rhinitis | | | • | | 1.59 (1.13 to 2.22) | Class IV |
| Maternal hypothyroidism during pregnancy | • | | | | 1.58 (0.50 to 5.00) | NS |
| Childhood asthma | | | _ | | 1.51 (1.4 to 1.63) | Class II |
| Maternal non-SSRI exposure during pregnancy | | | | | 1.50 (1.24 to 1.82) | Class III |
| Low perinatal vitamin D concentration | | | | | 1.41 (1.09 to 1.82) | Class IV |
| Maternal SSRI exposure during pregnancy | | | - | | 1·3/ (1·16 to 1·63) | Class III |
| Maternal diabetes | | | | | 1.36 (1.19 to 1.55) | Class III |
| Child younger than school classmates | | | | • | 1.36 (1.25 to 1.4/) | Class III |
| Maternal subclinical hypothyroidism during pregnancy | | | | | 1.34 (0.17 to 10.47) | NS Class I |
| Cilianood eczenia | | | | | 1-31 (1-20 to 1-44) | Class III |
| 5-min Apgal scole </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1.30(1.11101.52) $1.30(1.12 \pm 0.1.49)$</td> <td>Class III</td> | | | | | 1.30(1.11101.52) $1.30(1.12 \pm 0.1.49)$ | Class III |
| High frequency cell phone use during pregnancy | | | | | 1.29 (1.12 to 1.46) | Class III Class I |
| Pro oclampsia | | | | | 1.29 (1.22 to 1.30) | Class I |
| Single parent family | | | | | 1.28 (1.08 to 1.53) | Class IV |
| Maternal pre-pregnancy overweight | | | | | 1.28 (1.21 to 1.2E) | Class II |
| Maternal acetaminonhen exposure during pregnancy | | · · · | | | 1.25 (1.17 to 1.34) | Class I |
| Childhood obesity | | | | | 1.20 (1.05 to 1.37) | Class IV |
| Perinatal synthetic ovytocin use | - | | | | 1.17 (0.77 to 1.78) | NS |
| Caesarean delivery | | | | | 1.17(1.08 to 1.26) | Class III |
| Breech or transverse presentation | | | | | 1.14 (1.06 to 1.22) | Class III |
| Childhood food allergy | | | | | 1.14 (0.88 to 1.47) | NS |
| Prenatal and early infancy thimerosal exposure | | | | | 1.09(0.82 to 1.43) | NS |
| Prolapsed or nuchal cord | | | | | 1.08 (0.99 to 1.17) | NS |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤20 g per week | | | | | 1.01 (0.68 to 1.50) | NS |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤50 g per week | | _ • [| | | 0.94 (0.85 to 1.04) | NS |
| Prenatal alcohol exposure ≤70 g per week | | - | | | 0.94 (0.86 to 1.02) | NS |
| Breastfeeding | | | | | 0.70 (0.53 to 0.93) | Class IV |
| | r | | | | , (| |
| | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | | |
| В | | | | | Effect estimate | Level of |

| | | | | | | (95% CI) † | evidence |
|--|------|----------|----------------|----------|-----|------------------------|-----------|
| Blood lead | | | _ | • | | 0.78 (0.35 to 1.21) | Class III |
| Peripheral blood-brain-derived neurotrophic factor | | | _ | • | | 0.62 (-0.12 to 1.35) | NS |
| Urine normetanephrine | | | | • | | 0.51 (0.01 to 1.01) | Class IV |
| Urine metanephrine | | | | — | | 0.47 (0.10 to 0.84) | Class IV |
| Urine norepinephrine | | | | | | 0.41 (0.11 to 0.71) | Class IV |
| Urine epinephrine | | | | | | 0.41 (-0.15 to 0.97) | NS |
| Urine 5-hydroxyindoleacetic acid | | | | | | 0.34 (-0.14 to 0.81) | NS |
| Peripheral manganese | | | | _ | | 0.31 (0.03 to 0.58) | Class IV |
| Plasma epinephrine | | | • | | | 0.19 (-0.59 to 0.98) | NS |
| Urine dopamine | | | | | | 0·13 (-0·22 to 0·49) | NS |
| Serum iron | | | _ + _ | | | -0.06 (-0.27 to 0.15) | NS |
| Urine homovanillic acid | | _ | - | | | -0·15 (-0·51 to 0·20) | NS |
| Serum ferritin | | - | - - - | | | -0.25 (-0.44 to -0.05) | Class IV |
| Saliva cortisol | | - | ← | | | -0.31 (-0.47 to -0.15) | Class IV |
| Serum transferrin | | | ◆── | | | -0·32 (-0·70 to 0·06) | NS |
| Plasma norepinephrine | | • | | | | -0·42 (-1·75 to 0·91) | NS |
| Blood omega-3 | | | _ | | | -0·42 (-0·59 to -0·26) | Class IV |
| Urine 3-methoxy-4-hydroxyphenylethylene glycol | | | | | | -0.43 (-0.7 to -0.15) | Class IV |
| Blood magnesium | | | _ | | | -0.55 (-0.82 to -0.28) | Class III |
| Hair magnesium | | | | | | -0.71 (-1.36 to -0.07) | Class IV |
| Serum vitamin D | | | | | | -0.77 (-1.08 to -0.47) | Class II |
| Platelet monoamine-oxidase | - | — | | | | -1·05 (-1·55 to -0·55) | Class IV |
| Serum zinc | | • | | | | -1·33 (-2·23 to -0·43) | Class IV |
| | -2.0 | -1.0 | 0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | | |
| | | E | ffect estimate | | | | |

Figure 2: Summary estimates of meta-analyses of potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers for ADHD

A) Environmental risk factors and environmental protective factors for ADHD. B) Peripheral biomarkers for ADHD. *Metrics used were odds ratio, relative risk, and hazard ratio. †Metrics used were Cohen's d and Hedges' g. Meta-analyses that used weighted mean differences (serum vitamin D and blood lead) were converted to Cohen's d. NS=not significant.

with convincing evidence for pre-pregnancy obesity, preeclampsia, and hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, and highly suggestive evidence for pre-pregnancy overweight. One possible underlying mechanism involves a changed in-utero environment created by metabolic syndrome. Potential causes include reduced placental blood flow, maternal oxidative stress, and maternal inflammatory pathways.⁷¹ As inflammatory agents induce increased permeability of the blood-brain barrier of the immature fetus, they can reach the fetal brain,72 possibly resulting in neuroanatomical alteration.71,73 Altered fetal developmental trajectories, especially in the brain, could increase the risk of long-term vascular, cognitive, and psychiatric sequelae in the offspring,74-76 which could subsequently lead to higher risk of ADHD and other neurodevelopmental disorders, including autism spectrum disorder.⁷⁷ The causal relationship between pre-eclampsia and offspring with ADHD is supported by a sibling-matched study reporting similar effect sizes between the sibling-matched group (HR 1.13, 95% CI 1.05-1.22) and the unmatched population group (1.15, 1.12-1.19),78 which implies that the association might be independent of genetic or familial confounding. On the other hand, the association of pre-pregnancy obesity or overweight and offspring with ADHD seems to be confounded by genetic or familial factors, as studies have reported attenuated, non-significant associations in sibling-matched groups (HR 1.15 [95% CI 0.85 to 1.56] for obesity, 0.98 [0.83 to 1.16] for overweight, regression coefficient -0.08 for pre-pregnancy BMI, -0.23 to 0.06).^{79,80}

In accordance with evidence that ADHD is a common co-occurring condition in autism spectrum disorder,81 some components of metabolic syndrome (preeclampsia, hypertensive disorders during pregnancy, and maternal pre-pregnancy overweight) and acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy had robust associations with autism spectrum disorder with a high level of evidence (appendix p 15).11 This finding could support the pathological similarity between the two psychiatric disorders, previously characterised by reports of similarity of brain structural alterations in ADHD and autism,73 and shared genetic influences that suggest similar biological pathways.⁸² One hypothesis is that shared environmental risk factors of ADHD and autism spectrum disorder could have a transdiagnostic feature.83,84 Further studies regarding the possible linkage between the disorders with the consideration of these findings would be worthwhile.

Childhood atopic diseases were associated with an increased risk of ADHD, with convincing evidence for childhood eczema and highly suggestive evidence for childhood asthma. Broadly accepted contributors include neuroimmunological pathways³⁷ that account for the disruptive effect of allergic inflammatory cytokines,⁸⁵ and psychological mechanisms⁵⁰ that account for the elevated psychological stress.⁸⁶ These

contributing factors damage ADHD-relevant brain circuits in early life, when the brain is particularly sensitive to stimulation.87 However, the causality of the comorbidity of atopic diseases and ADHD is still a matter of debate. Indeed, previous studies suggested that early ADHD is a predictor of subsequent asthma.^{50,87} Some twin studies have been done to control for genetic or familial factors one of which suggested genetic influences underlying the association between asthma and subsequent ADHD symptoms by reporting a significant correlation between them (correlation coefficient 0.23, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.37).88 However, another study reported conflicting findings that crosstwin cross-trait correlation between ADHD and asthma is higher between dizygotic twins (correlation coefficient 0.13, 0.03 to 0.23) than monozygotic twins (0.05, -0.08 to 0.17), contradicting the notion of a shared genetic component in asthma and ADHD.89 This result was supported by other familial studies.^{90,91} Our findings should also be considered in light of the large betweenstudy heterogeneity in the asthma meta-analyses. The heterogeneity might be attributed to the heterogeneous nature of asthma, including diverse clinical presentation, multiple causes, and variable developmental courses, 92,93 and the fact that most individual studies were casecontrol or cross-sectional. Meanwhile, one suggested confounder of the association between eczema and subsequent ADHD symptoms is sleeping problems caused by eczema. Eczema was reported to be positively associated with impaired sleep quality,94 and in a twinmatched study,95 childhood sleep problems were associated with subsequent hyperactivity.

Maternal smoking during pregnancy showed highly suggestive evidence for increased risk of ADHD, retaining the level of evidence in all three subset analyses. Potential mechanisms have been suggested for the harmful effect of maternal smoking on child neurodevelopment.⁴⁵ Meanwhile, results of three separate sibling studies, controlled for familial or genetic confounding,96-98 reported non-significant, attenuated effect estimates, and a meta-analysis of these three studies reported an effect close to the null (OR 1.04, 95% CI 0.95-1.15).45 Another sibling study reported that effect estimates gradually attenuated towards the null when adjusting for unmeasured confounders (HR 1.62 [95% CI 1.56-1.69] in unmatched population, 1.45 [1·24-1·68] for cousin comparison, 0·88 [0·73-1·06] for sibling comparison)." These findings suggest that the association is confounded by familial or genetic factors, which supports the hypothesis that shared genetic components between mother and child are the cause of ADHD.^{100,101} Maternal psychiatric conditions, including ADHD, might be another possible confounding factor, in that they were associated with both smoking during pregnancy and ADHD in offspring.102

Of the potential peripheral biomarkers, evidence of association between ADHD and lower concentrations of

serum vitamin D was highly suggestive, with large heterogeneity and 95% prediction interval including the null value. However, most peripheral biomarkers identified in our study were graded as low level, partly because of the paucity of ADHD cases and research in this field. The quality of meta-analyses of peripheral biomarkers was poorer than that of environmental factors, as many had no protocol registration or risk of bias assessment. These findings are consistent with the consensus that biomarkers are not yet reliable enough to be used clinically. Consensus studies in 2012¹⁰³ concluded that no single biomarker reliably predicts ADHD, and guidelines from the same time^{104,105} do not mention or recommend any biomarkers for the management of ADHD (appendix p 16).

Our study has some limitations. First, due to the nature of observational studies, the identified associations do not necessarily imply causality. Although we identified robust associations consistently across multiple studies, the possibility of confounding cannot be ruled out. The associations of maternal smoking, obesity, and overweight were not replicated in familial studies, suggesting significant familial or genetic confounding underlying the association.45,79,80,99 Second, we could not consider changes in classification for ADHD and its varieties and could not distinguish between specific symptoms for diagnosing ADHD. Third, we could not assess potential environmental factors or biomarkers of ADHD according to important characteristics such as sex, intellectual disability, and comorbid psychiatric disorders. Fourth, we assessed peripheral biomarkers but did not assess neurocognitive markers, which might act as biomarkers for ADHD.¹⁵ Fifth, the identified factors might not be independent. Furthermore, we could only address associations in the published meta-analyses and might have missed associations not evaluated in other meta-analyses, or underestimated some genuine environmental factors or biomarkers. For example, other reviews have argued that preterm birth is the risk factor most strongly associated with risk of ADHD,44,106 since the association was supported by sibling studies107 and doseresponse relationship.¹⁰⁸ However, we graded preterm birth⁴¹ as suggestive evidence (class III), not meeting the criteria for highly suggestive (class II), because random effects p>0.000001, and the largest study was not statistically significant. This is partly because we did not reward high-quality study designs, such as familial studies or dose-response relationships, or further attempt to control for confounders in our evidence grading.

In this umbrella review, we mapped and established the hierarchy of evidence among 63 potential environmental risk factors, environmental protective factors, and peripheral biomarkers of ADHD. Among these factors and biomarkers, only pre-pregnancy obesity, pre-pregnancy overweight, maternal acetaminophen exposure during pregnancy, and maternal smoking during pregnancy retained high level of evidence in all subset analyses. However, these associations are not necessarily causative, and high-quality primary studies to confirm these findings would be valuable.

Contributors

JHK, JYK, and JIS designed the study. JHK, JYK, and JIS did the literature search and screening, extracted, analysed, and interpreted the data, and made the figures and tables. All authors drafted and critically revised the manuscript. All authors gave approval to the final version of the manuscript for publication. PF-P provided overall supervision on the conduct of the study. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript for publication.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Data sharing

All data in this review were from publicly available systematic reviews.

- Thapar A, Cooper M. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Lancet* 2016; **387**: 1240–50.
- 2 Polanczyk G, de Lima MS, Horta BL, Biederman J, Rohde LA. The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. Am J Psychiatry 2007; 164: 942–48.
- 3 Thomas R, Sanders S, Doust J, Beller E, Glasziou P. Prevalence of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2015; 135: e994–1001.
- 4 Tannock R. Rethinking ADHD and LD in DSM-5: proposed changes in diagnostic criteria. J Learn Disabil 2013; 46: 5–25.
- 5 Olusanya BO, Davis AC, Wertlieb D, et al. Developmental disabilities among children younger than 5 years in 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Glob Health 2018; 6: e1100–21.
- 5 Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, et al. Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Nat Genet 2019; 51: 63–75.
- 7 Sciberras E, Mulraney M, Silva D, Coghill D. Prenatal risk factors and the etiology of ADHD-review of existing evidence. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2017; 19: 1.
- 8 Lichtenstein P, Carlström E, Råstam M, Gillberg C, Anckarsäter H. The genetics of autism spectrum disorders and related neuropsychiatric disorders in childhood. *Am J Psychiatry* 2010; 167: 1357–63.
- 9 Froehlich TE, Anixt JS, Loe IM, Chirdkiatgumchai V, Kuan L, Gilman RC. Update on environmental risk factors for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2011; 13: 333–44.
- 10 Ioannidis JP. Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Med* 2005; **2**: e124.
- 11 Kim JY, Son MJ, Son CY, et al. Environmental risk factors and biomarkers for autism spectrum disorder: an umbrella review of the evidence. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2019; 6: 590–600.
- 12 Ioannidis JP. Integration of evidence from multiple meta-analyses: a primer on umbrella reviews, treatment networks and multiple treatments meta-analyses. CMAJ 2009; 181: 488–93.
- 13 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6: e1000097.
- 14 Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ 2017; 358: j4008.
- 15 Pievsky MA, McGrath RE. The neurocognitive profile of attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: a review of meta-analyses. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2018; 33: 143–57.
- 16 Belbasis L, Bellou V, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP, Tzoulaki I. Environmental risk factors and multiple sclerosis: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Lancet Neurol* 2015; 14: 263–73.
- 17 Sterne JA, Davey Smith G. Sifting the evidence-what's wrong with significance tests? BMJ 2001; 322: 226–31.
- Ioannidis JP, Tarone R, McLaughlin JK. The false-positive to falsenegative ratio in epidemiologic studies. *Epidemiology* 2011; 22: 450–56.

- 19 Cochran WG. The combination of estimates from different experiments. *Biometrics* 1954; 10: 101–29.
- 20 Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-analysis. J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc 2009; 172: 137–59.
- 21 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997; 315: 629–34.
- 22 Ioannidis JP, Trikalinos TA. An exploratory test for an excess of significant findings. *Clin Trials* 2007; **4**: 245–53.
- 23 Salanti G, Ioannidis JP. Synthesis of observational studies should consider credibility ceilings. J Clin Epidemiol 2009; 62: 115–22.
- 24 Papatheodorou SI, Tsilidis KK, Evangelou E, Ioannidis JP. Application of credibility ceilings probes the robustness of meta-analyses of biomarkers and cancer risk. J Clin Epidemiol 2015; 68: 163–74.
- 25 Champely S, Ekstrom C, Dalgaard P, et al. pwr: basic functions for power analysis. Version 1.3-0. March 17, 2018. https://cran.r-project. org/package=pwr (accessed Oct 30, 2019).
- 26 Viechtbauer W. Conducting meta-analyses in R with the metafor package. J Stat Softw 2010; 36: 1–48.
- 27 Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, Middleton LT, Ioannidis JPA, Evangelou E. Systematic evaluation of the associations between environmental risk factors and dementia: an umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017; 13: 406–18.
- 28 Scassellati C, Bonvicini C, Faraone SV, Gennarelli M. Biomarkers and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and metaanalyses. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2012; 51: 1003–19.e20.
- 29 Yoshimasu K, Kiyohara C, Takemura S, Nakai K. A meta-analysis of the evidence on the impact of prenatal and early infancy exposures to mercury on autism and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the childhood. *Neurotoxicology* 2014; 44: 121–31.
- 30 Adeyemo BO, Biederman J, Zafonte R, et al. Mild traumatic brain injury and ADHD: a systematic review of the literature and meta-analysis. J Atten Disord 2014; 18: 576–84.
- 31 Hawkey E, Nigg JT. Omega-3 fatty acid and ADHD: blood level analysis and meta-analytic extension of supplementation trials. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2014; 34: 496–505.
- 32 Russell AE, Ford T, Williams R, Russell G. The association between socioeconomic disadvantage and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a systematic review. *Child Psychiatry Hum Dev* 2016; 47: 440–58.
- 33 Cortese S, Moreira-Maia CR, St Fleur D, Morcillo-Peñalver C, Rohde LA, Faraone SV. Association between ADHD and obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2016; 173: 34–43.
- 34 Sun GX, Wang BH, Zhang YF. Relationship between serum zinc levels and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children. Zhongguo Dang Dai Er Ke Za Zhi 2015; 17: 980–83.
- 35 Zhu T, Gan J, Huang J, Li Y, Qu Y, Mu D. Association between perinatal hypoxic-ischemic conditions and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a meta-analysis. J Child Neurol 2016; 31: 1235–44.
- 36 Nazar BP, Bernardes C, Peachey G, Sergeant J, Mattos P, Treasure J. The risk of eating disorders comorbid with attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Eat Disord 2016; 49: 1045–57.
- 37 van der Schans J, Çiçek R, de Vries TW, Hak E, Hoekstra PJ. Association of atopic diseases and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2017; 74: 139–48.
- 38 Miyazaki C, Koyama M, Ota E, et al. Allergic diseases in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2017; 17: 120.
- 39 Birks L, Guxens M, Papadopoulou E, et al. Maternal cell phone use during pregnancy and child behavioral problems in five birth cohorts. *Environ Int* 2017; 104: 122–31.
- 40 He J, Ning H, Huang R. Low blood lead levels and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int* 2019; 26: 17875–84.
- 41 Allotey J, Zamora J, Cheong-See F, et al. Cognitive, motor, behavioural and academic performances of children born preterm: a meta-analysis and systematic review involving 64 061 children. BJOG 2018; 125: 16–25.

- 42 Zhang J, Luo W, Li Q, Xu R, Wang Q, Huang Q. Peripheral brainderived neurotrophic factor in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord 2018; 227: 298–304.
- 43 Jiang HY, Peng CT, Zhang X, Ruan B. Antidepressant use during pregnancy and the risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the children: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BJOG* 2018; 125: 1077–84.
- 44 Franz AP, Bolat GU, Bolat H, et al. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and very preterm/very low birth weight: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2018; 141: e20171645.
- 45 Huang L, Wang Y, Zhang L, et al. Maternal smoking and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 2018; 141: e20172465.
- 46 Thompson W, Russell G, Baragwanath G, Matthews J, Vaidya B, Thompson-Coon J. Maternal thyroid hormone insufficiency during pregnancy and risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2018; 88: 575–84.
- 47 Tseng PT, Cheng YS, Yen CF, et al. Peripheral iron levels in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8: 788.
- 48 Khoshbakht Y, Bidaki R, Salehi-Abargouei A. Vitamin D status and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Adv Nutr 2018; 9: 9–20.
- 49 Maher GM, O'Keeffe GW, Kearney PM, et al. Association of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy with risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry 2018; 75: 809–19.
- 50 Cortese S, Sun S, Zhang J, et al. Association between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and asthma: a systematic review and meta-analysis and a Swedish population-based study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; 5: 717–26.
- 51 Shih JH, Zeng BY, Lin PY, et al. Association between peripheral manganese levels and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a preliminary meta-analysis. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2018; 14: 1831–42.
- 52 Lønfeldt NN, Verhulst FC, Strandberg-Larsen K, Plessen KJ, Lebowitz ER. Assessing risk of neurodevelopmental disorders after birth with oxytocin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol Med* 2019; 49: 881–90.
- 53 Huang YH, Zeng BY, Li DJ, et al. Significantly lower serum and hair magnesium levels in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder than controls: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry* 2019; 90: 134–41.
- 54 Zeng Y, Tang Y, Tang J, et al. Association between the different duration of breastfeeding and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Neurosci* 2018; published online Dec 21. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/1028415X.2018.1560905.
- 55 Gou X, Wang Y, Tang Y, et al. Association of maternal prenatal acetaminophen use with the risk of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: a meta-analysis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 2019; 53: 195–206.
- 56 Zhao L, Li X, Liu G, Han B, Wang J, Jiang X. The association of maternal diabetes with attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in offspring: a meta-analysis. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2019; 15: 675–84.
- 57 San Martin Porter M, Maravilla JC, Betts KS, Alati R. Low-moderate prenatal alcohol exposure and offspring attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Gynecol Obstet 2019; 300: 269–77.
- 58 Jenabi E, Bashirian S, Khazaei S, Basiri Z. The maternal prepregnancy body mass index and the risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Korean J Pediatr* 2019; 62: 374–79.
- 59 Yamamoto JM, Benham JL, Dewey D, et al. Neurocognitive and behavioural outcomes in offspring exposed to maternal pre-existing diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* 2019; 62: 1561–74.
- 60 Manzari N, Matvienko-Sikar K, Baldoni F, O'Keeffe GW, Khashan AS. Prenatal maternal stress and risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2019; 54: 1299–309.

- 61 Caye A, Petresco S, de Barros AJD, et al. Relative age and attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder: data from three epidemiological cohorts and a meta-analysis. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2020; 59: 990–07.
- 62 Zhang T, Sidorchuk A, Sevilla-Cermeño L, et al. Association of cesarean delivery with risk of neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA Netw Open 2019; 2: e1910236.
- 63 Bauer AZ, Kriebel D, Herbert MR, Bornehag CG, Swan SH. Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: a review. *Horm Behav* 2018; 101: 125–47.
- 64 Nitsche JF, Patil AS, Langman LJ, et al. Transplacental passage of acetaminophen in term pregnancy. *Am J Perinatol* 2017; **34**: 541–43.
- 65 Kumpulainen E, Kokki H, Halonen T, Heikkinen M, Savolainen J, Laisalmi M. Paracetamol (acetaminophen) penetrates readily into the cerebrospinal fluid of children after intravenous administration. *Pediatrics* 2007; 119: 766–71.
- 66 Brandlistuen RE, Ystrom E, Nulman I, Koren G, Nordeng H. Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: a sibling-controlled cohort study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2013; 42: 1702–13.
- 67 Stergiakouli E, Thapar A, Davey Smith G. Association of acetaminophen use during pregnancy with behavioral problems in childhood: evidence against confounding. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016; 170: 964–70.
- 68 Ystrom E, Gustavson K, Brandlistuen RE, et al. Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and risk of ADHD. *Pediatrics* 2017; **140**: e20163840.
- 69 Ji Y, Riley AW, Lee LC, et al. Maternal biomarkers of acetaminophen use and offspring attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Brain Sci* 2018; 8: E127.
- 70 Masarwa R, Levine H, Gorelik E, Reif S, Perlman A, Matok I. Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autistic spectrum disorder: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis of cohort studies. Am J Epidemiol 2018; 187: 1817–27.
- 71 Böhm S, Curran EA, Kenny LC, O'Keeffe GW, Murray D, Khashan AS. The effect of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy on the risk of ADHD in the offspring. J Atten Disord 2019; 23: 692–701.
- 72 Rees S, Harding R. Brain development during fetal life: influences of the intra-uterine environment. *Neurosci Lett* 2004; **361**: 111–14.
- 73 Rätsep MT, Paolozza A, Hickman AF, et al. Brain structural and vascular anatomy is altered in offspring of pre-eclamptic pregnancies: a pilot study. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2016; 37: 939–45.
- 74 Davis EF, Lazdam M, Lewandowski AJ, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors in children and young adults born to preeclamptic pregnancies: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 2012; **129**: e1552–61.
- 75 Pinheiro TV, Brunetto S, Ramos JG, Bernardi JR, Goldani MZ. Hypertensive disorders during pregnancy and health outcomes in the offspring: a systematic review. J Dev Orig Health Dis 2016; 7: 391–407.
- 76 Nomura Y, John RM, Janssen AB, et al. Neurodevelopmental consequences in offspring of mothers with preeclampsia during pregnancy: underlying biological mechanism via imprinting genes. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2017; 295: 1319–29.
- 77 Maher GM, O'Keeffe GW, Kenny LC, Kearney PM, Dinan TG, Khashan AS. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *BMJ Open* 2017; 7: e018313.
- 78 Maher GM, Dalman C, O'Keeffe GW, et al. Association between preeclampsia and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a population-based and sibling-matched cohort study. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2020; acps.13162.
- 79 Chen Q, Sjölander A, Långström N, et al. Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index and offspring attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a population-based cohort study using a siblingcomparison design. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; 43: 83–90.
- 80 Musser ED, Willoughby MT, Wright S, et al. Maternal prepregnancy body mass index and offspring attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a quasi-experimental sibling-comparison, populationbased design. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2017; 58: 240–47.
- 81 Lai MC, Lombardo MV, Baron-Cohen S. Autism. Lancet 2014; 383: 896–910.
- 82 Stergiakouli E, Davey Smith G, Martin J, et al. Shared genetic influences between dimensional ASD and ADHD symptoms during child and adolescent development. *Mol Autism* 2017; 8: 18.

- 83 Fusar-Poli P, Solmi M, Brondino N, et al. Transdiagnostic psychiatry: a systematic review. World Psychiatry 2019; 18: 192–207.
- 84 Fusar-Poli P. TRANSD recommendations: improving transdiagnostic research in psychiatry. World Psychiatry 2019; 18: 361–62.
- 85 Verlaet AAJ, Noriega DB, Hermans N, Savelkoul HFJ. Nutrition, immunological mechanisms and dietary immunomodulation in ADHD. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2014; 23: 519–29.
- 86 Paus R, Theoharides TC, Arck PC. Neuroimmunoendocrine circuitry of the 'brain-skin connection'. *Trends Immunol* 2006; 27: 32–39.
- 87 Buske-Kirschbaum A, Schmitt J, Plessow F, Romanos M, Weidinger S, Roessner V. Psychoendocrine and psychoneuroimmunological mechanisms in the comorbidity of atopic eczema and attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; 38: 12–23.
- 88 Mogensen N, Larsson H, Lundholm C, Almqvist C. Association between childhood asthma and ADHD symptoms in adolescence–a prospective population-based twin study. *Allergy* 2011; 66: 1224–30.
- 89 Holmberg K, Lundholm C, Anckarsäter H, Larsson H, Almqvist C. Impact of asthma medication and familial factors on the association between childhood asthma and attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder: a combined twin- and register-based study: epidemiology of allergic disease. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2015; 45: 964–73.
- 90 Biederman J, Milberger S, Faraone SV, Guite J, Warburton R. Associations between childhood asthma and ADHD: issues of psychiatric comorbidity and familiality. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 1994; 33: 842–48.
- 91 Hammerness P, Monuteaux MC, Faraone SV, Gallo L, Murphy H, Biederman J. Reexamining the familial association between asthma and ADHD in girls. J Atten Disord 2005; 8: 136–43.
- 92 Papi A, Brightling C, Pedersen SE, Reddel HK. Asthma. Lancet 2018; 391: 783–800.
- 93 Agnew-Blais J. Intriguing findings regarding the association between asthma and ADHD. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; 5: 689–90.
- 94 Ramirez FD, Chen S, Langan SM, et al. Association of atopic dermatitis with sleep quality in children. JAMA Pediatr 2019; 173: e190025.
- 95 Gregory AM, Eley TC, O'Connor TG, Plomin R. Etiologies of associations between childhood sleep and behavioral problems in a large twin sample. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2004; 43: 744–51.
- 96 Obel C, Olsen J, Henriksen TB, et al. Is maternal smoking during pregnancy a risk factor for hyperkinetic disorder?—Findings from a sibling design. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011; 40: 338–45.
- 97 Obel C, Zhu JL, Olsen J, et al. The risk of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy—a re-examination using a sibling design. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2016; 57: 532–37.
- 98 Gustavson K, Ystrom E, Stoltenberg C, et al. Smoking in pregnancy and child ADHD. *Pediatrics* 2017; 139: e20162509.
- 99 Skoglund C, Chen Q, D'Onofrio BM, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H. Familial confounding of the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and ADHD in offspring. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2014; 55: 61–68.
- 100 Rice F, Langley K, Woodford C, Davey Smith G, Thapar A. Identifying the contribution of prenatal risk factors to offspring development and psychopathology: what designs to use and a critique of literature on maternal smoking and stress in pregnancy. *Dev Psychopathol* 2018; **30**: 1107–28.
- 101 Thapar A, Rice F. Family-based designs that disentangle inherited factors from pre- and postnatal environmental exposures: in vitro fertilization, discordant sibling pairs, maternal versus paternal comparisons, and adoption designs. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2020; published online March 9. https://doi.org/10.1101/ cshperspect.a038877.
- 102 Talati A, Wickramaratne PJ, Keyes KM, Hasin DS, Levin FR, Weissman MM. Smoking and psychopathology increasingly associated in recent birth cohorts. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013; 133: 724–32.
- 103 Thome J, Ehlis AC, Fallgatter AJ, et al. Biomarkers for attentiondeficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). A consensus report of the WFSBP task force on biological markers and the World Federation of ADHD. World J Biol Psychiatry 2012; 13: 379–400.

- 104 Wolraich ML, Hagan JF Jr, Allan C, et al. Clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis, evaluation, and treatment of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 2019; 144: e20192528.
- 105 National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: diagnosis and management. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, 2018.
- 106 Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, Cradock MM, Anand KJ. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2002; 288: 728–37.
- 107 Ask H, Gustavson K, Ystrom E, et al. Association of gestational age at birth with symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. *JAMA Pediatr* 2018; **172**: 749–56.
- 108 D'Onofrio BM, Class QA, Rickert ME, Larsson H, Långström N, Lichtenstein P. Preterm birth and mortality and morbidity: a population-based quasi-experimental study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; 70: 1231–40.